

Scalar evolution equations for shear waves in incompressible solids: a simple derivation of the Z, ZK, KZK and KP equations

BY MICHEL DESTRADE^{1,2,*}, ALAIN GORIELY³ AND GIUSEPPE SACCOMANDI⁴

¹*School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics, National University of Ireland Galway, University Road, Galway, Republic of Ireland*

²*School of Electrical, Electronic and Mechanical Engineering, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Republic of Ireland*

³*OCCAM, Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3LB, UK*

⁴*Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale, Università degli Studi di Perugia, 06125 Perugia, Italy*

We study the propagation of two-dimensional finite-amplitude shear waves in a nonlinear pre-strained incompressible solid, and derive several asymptotic amplitude equations in a simple, consistent and rigorous manner. The scalar Zabolotskaya (Z) equation is shown to be the asymptotic limit of the equations of motion for all elastic generalized neo-Hookean solids (with strain energy depending only on the first principal invariant of Cauchy–Green strain). However, we show that the Z equation cannot be a scalar equation for the propagation of two-dimensional shear waves in general elastic materials (with strain energy depending on the first and second principal invariants of strain). Then, we introduce dispersive and dissipative terms to deduce the scalar Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP), Zabolotskaya–Khokhlov (ZK) and Khokhlov–Zabolotskaya–Kuznetsov (KZK) equations of incompressible solid mechanics.

Keywords: shear waves; incompressible materials; soft solids

1. Introduction

The Zabolotskaya (Z) equation describes the propagation of finite-amplitude, two-dimensional, linearly polarized, shear waves in nonlinear solids. It can be presented in the following form (owing to Cramer & Andrews 2003):

$$(U_{\tau} + \beta U^2 U_{\chi})_{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} U_{\eta\eta} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $U = U(\chi, \eta, \tau)$ is a measure of the amplitude of the wave, χ is a spatial variable measured in a frame moving with the wave, η is a spatial variable transverse to the wave propagation, τ is a temporal variable and β characterizes the material properties of the solid. Zabolotskaya (1986) derived it via a perturbation scheme from the general equations of elastodynamics. It

*Author for correspondence (michel.destrade@nuigalway.ie).

is a counterpart to the Zabolotskaya–Khokhlov (ZK) equation, itself describing the propagation of weakly nonlinear, weakly diffracting, two-dimensional sound beams (Zabolotskaya & Khokhlov 1969),

$$(U_\tau + \beta UU_\chi)_\chi + \frac{1}{2} U_{\eta\eta} = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

(Note that this form is also due to Cramer & Andrews (2003), and that the nonlinear parameter β is not the same in each equation.) The history of the ZK equation and its variants has recently been retraced by Rudenko (2010).

There are two major differences between the Z and ZK equations. One is obviously the cubic term in equation (1.1) versus the quadratic term in equation (1.2). The other is that the ZK equation governs the motion of the *longitudinal* displacement and is a *scalar equation*, while the Z equation governs the propagation of plane-polarized *shear waves* and is thus a *vector equation*, *a priori*. Nonetheless, recent papers (Enflo et al. 2006; Wochner et al. 2008; Pinton et al. 2010) have investigated the propagation of linearly polarized nonlinear shear waves in incompressible solids.

Here, we aim at finding what restrictions must be imposed, if at all, on the form of the strain energy W (say), in order to obtain a *scalar Z equation*. We also include the effects of pre-strain, similar to Cramer & Andrews (2003) in the compressible case. We point out that another problem of interest related to these model equations concerns the mathematical validation of the various approximations. This problem has been solved in the framework of the Navier–Stokes and Euler system by Rozanova-Pierrat (2009). Moreover, because we are mainly interested in understanding the physical underpinnings at the basement of various modelling assumptions, we adopt here a direct method for the derivation of the model equations, not a general reductive perturbation scheme such as the one proposed by Taniuti (1990), for example.

In §3, we establish that a scalar Z equation can indeed be generated for the whole class of the so-called *generalized neo-Hookean solids*, for which the strain-energy density does not depend on the second principal invariant of the Cauchy–Green strain tensor. We then try to generalize the study to the case of a generic *fourth-order incompressible solid*, for which W is expanded up to the fourth order in invariants of the Green strain tensor. We show in §3*b* that there, the Z equation is necessarily a vector equation that is, only plane-polarized—not linearly polarized—two-dimensional shear waves are possible.

When dissipative and dispersive effects are taken into account for the propagation of longitudinal waves, the ZK equation is generalized to

$$(U_\tau + \beta UU_\chi + \beta' UU_{\tau\tau} + \beta'' U_{\tau\tau\tau})_\chi + \frac{1}{2} U_{\eta\eta} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where β' and β'' are other material constants. This scalar equation covers the purely dissipative (at $\beta'' = 0$) Khokhlov–Zabolotskaya–Kuznetsov (KZK) equation (Kuznetsov 1971) and the purely dispersive Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) equation (Kadomtsev & Petviashvili 1970). In §4, we consider dissipative and dispersive effects in generalized neo-Hookean solids. We derive the counterpart to equation (1.3) for shear waves as

$$(U_\tau + \beta U^2 U_\chi + \beta' UU_{\tau\tau} + \beta'' U_{\tau\tau\tau})_\chi + \frac{1}{2} U_{\eta\eta} = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

a scalar equation that covers the Z equation, the modified Khokhlov–Zabolotskaya–Kuznetsov (mKZK) equation and the modified Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (mKP) equation.

The aim of this paper is to provide a simple derivation of these scalar equations for incompressible materials. This quest is largely motivated by the pressing need to model acoustic-wave propagation in soft tissues and gels, where the combined effects of incompressibility, pre-strain, viscosity and dispersion cannot be ignored. This is a topic of fundamental relevance to ultrasound-based techniques of medical imaging such as elastography.

2. Basic equations

Consider a body of incompressible isotropic elastic material, initially at rest in a reference configuration \mathcal{B}_0 , say. For the study of anti-plane shear motions, we choose a system of Cartesian coordinates (X_1, X_2, X_3) to denote the position of a particle in the body in \mathcal{B}_0 . We then call (x_1, x_2, x_3) the Cartesian coordinates, aligned with (X_1, X_2, X_3) , corresponding to the current position \mathbf{x} in the deformed configuration \mathcal{B} , say. We study the anti-plane motion

$$x_1 = \lambda^{-1/2} X_1, \quad x_2 = \lambda^{-1/2} X_2 \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = \lambda X_3 + u(X_1, X_2, t), \quad (2.1)$$

where λ is a positive constant—the axial pre-stretch—and u is a three-times continuously differentiable function—the shear motion.

We call $\mathbf{F} \equiv \partial \mathbf{x} / \partial \mathbf{X}$ the associated deformation gradient and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^T$ the left Cauchy–Green strain tensor. The first principal isotropic strain invariant, $I_1 \equiv \text{tr} \mathbf{B}$, is here

$$I_1 = \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^{-1} + u_1^2 + u_2^2, \quad (2.2)$$

and the second principal isotropic strain invariant, $I_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} [I_1^2 - \text{tr}(\mathbf{B}^2)]$, is equal to

$$I_2 = \lambda^{-2} + 2\lambda + \lambda^{-1}(u_1^2 + u_2^2), \quad (2.3)$$

where $u_1 \equiv \partial u / \partial X_1$ and $u_2 \equiv \partial u / \partial X_2$. In all generality, the strain-energy density W is a function of I_1 and I_2 only, and the Cauchy stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is derived as (e.g. Ogden 1984)

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -p \mathbf{I} + 2W_1 \mathbf{B} - 2W_2 \mathbf{B}^{-1}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $W_1 \equiv \partial W / \partial I_1$, $W_2 \equiv \partial W / \partial I_2$ and p is a Lagrange multiplier introduced by the constraint of incompressibility (which imposes that $\det \mathbf{F} = 1$ at all times).

The equations of motion, in the absence of body forces, are

$$\text{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \rho \frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

where ρ is the mass density, which remains constant owing to the incompressibility constraint. Here, the equations of motion reduce to the following system of partial

differential equations (see Knowles (1976) for the static case):

$$q_j + 2(W_2 u_j u_i)_i = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$2[(W_1 + \lambda^{-1} W_2) u_i]_i = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}, \quad (2.7)$$

where summation over the repeated index $i = 1, 2$ is assumed. The auxiliary quantity q is given by

$$q = \lambda p(X_1, X_2) - 2W_1 - 2(\lambda^2 + \lambda^{-1} + u_1^2 + u_2^2) W_2. \quad (2.8)$$

3. The Z equation

(a) Results for generalized neo-Hookean materials

The system (2.6) consists of three partial differential equations for the two unknown functions p and u . Therefore, the system is overdetermined and it is not possible, in general, to find a general solution for arbitrary choices of the strain energy W . Progress is possible for some special forms of the strain-energy density, in particular for the Mooney–Rivlin form, which is linear in I_1 and I_2 (Adkins 1954), but only for *static* solutions (see Knowles (1976) for details). The dynamic situation for anti-plane *motions* is much more complex, as discussed by Hayes & Saccomandi (2004) in the Mooney–Rivlin case.

However, if we consider materials for which $W = W(I_1 - 3)$ only—the so-called *generalized neo-Hookean materials*—then system (2.6) reduces to a well-determined system,

$$q_1 = q_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2(W' u_i)_i = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}, \quad (3.1)$$

where W' is the derivative of W with respect to its argument $(I_1 - 3)$.

(i) Derivation of the Z equation

To derive the Z equation, we assume that W is at least of class \mathcal{C}^3 . We assume that the primary propagation is in the X_1 -direction and introduce the following new displacement and new variables:

$$v = \epsilon^{-1} u, \quad \chi = \epsilon^2 X_1, \quad \tau = t \pm \alpha X_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \epsilon \sqrt{\alpha} X_2, \quad (3.2)$$

where ϵ is a small, non-dimensional parameter, giving a measure of the motion amplitude, the plus or minus sign corresponds to left or right running waves and α is a parameter to be adjusted to cancel all terms to order ϵ . (Note that the scalings used here mirror those of Zabolotskaya (1986), and give a consistent asymptotic expansion.) To obtain the Z equation, we expand equation (3.1), that is

$$2(W' u_{11} + W'_1 u_1 + W' u_{12} + W'_2 u_2) = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}, \quad (3.3)$$

to order ϵ^3 (note that the subscript ‘ i ’ here denotes derivation with respect to X_i).

First, we compute the partial derivatives as follows:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1} &= \pm\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial X_2} &= \epsilon\sqrt{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2}, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_1^2} &= \alpha^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} + \pm 2\epsilon^2 \alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \chi \partial \tau} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \\ \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X_2^2} &= \epsilon^2 \alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.4)$$

which leads to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u_1 &= \pm\epsilon\alpha v_\tau + \epsilon^3 v_\chi, & u_2 &= \epsilon^2 \sqrt{\alpha} v_\eta \\ \text{and} \quad u_{11} &= \epsilon\alpha^2 v_{\tau\tau} \pm 2\epsilon^3 v_{\chi\tau} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4), & u_{22} &= \epsilon^3 \alpha v_{\eta\eta}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

Second, we expand W' in ϵ . Since

$$I_1 - 3 = \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^{-1} - 3 + \epsilon^2 \alpha^2 v_\tau^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4), \quad (3.6)$$

we have

$$W' = w^{(1)} + \epsilon^2 w^{(2)} \alpha^2 v_\tau^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4), \quad (3.7)$$

where $w^{(1)} = W'(\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^{-1} - 3)$ and $w^{(2)} = W''(\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^{-1} - 3)$. Third, we use the expansions for W' and u_i to compute each term in equation (3.3) up to order ϵ^3 . That is

$$W'_1 = \pm\epsilon^2 w^{(2)} \alpha^3 (v_\tau^2)_\tau + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \quad \text{and} \quad W'_2 = \epsilon^3 w^{(2)} \alpha^{5/2} (v_\tau^2)_\eta + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4). \quad (3.8)$$

Equation (3.3) now reads

$$\epsilon v_{\tau\tau} (2w^{(1)} \alpha^2 - \rho) + 2\epsilon^3 \alpha \left[w^{(1)} (v_{\eta\eta} \pm 2v_{\chi\tau}) + w^{(2)} \alpha^3 (v_\tau^3)_\tau \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Terms to order ϵ disappear by choosing $\alpha^2 = \rho/(2w^{(1)})$, which gives to order ϵ^3 ,

$$v_{\eta\eta} \pm 2v_{\chi\tau} + \frac{w^{(2)}}{w^{(1)}} \alpha^3 (v_\tau^3)_\tau = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Finally, taking a derivative of this equation with respect to τ and using the substitution $U = v_\tau$ leads to the scalar Z equation

$$(\pm U_\chi + \beta U^2 U_\tau)_\tau + \frac{1}{2} U_{\eta\eta} = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\beta = 3w^{(2)} \alpha^5 / \rho$.

For example, consider the Yeoh strain energy described by

$$W = \left(\frac{\mu}{2}\right) \left[(I_1 - 3) + \frac{\gamma}{2} (I_1 - 3)^2 \right], \quad (3.12)$$

where $\mu > 0$ is the initial shear modulus and $\gamma > 0$ is a nonlinear elastic constant. In this case, we find

$$\alpha^2 = \frac{\rho}{\mu[1 + \gamma(\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^{-1} - 3)]} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{3\mu}{2\rho} \gamma \alpha^5. \quad (3.13)$$

Note that the apparent difference between equation (3.11) and the Z equation (1.1) is simply owing to the choice of independent variables. Here, we chose the same independent variables as Norris (1998), where τ is a retarded/advanced time, χ is the spatial variable in the propagation direction and η is the transverse spatial variable.

(ii) *Special solutions*

Following Cates & Crighton (1990) and Sionóid & Cates (1994), we perform the transformation

$$U(\chi, \tau, \eta) = G(\chi, \zeta), \quad \text{where} \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\tau + \frac{\eta^2}{2\chi} \right), \quad (3.14)$$

which reduces the Z equation (3.11) to an equation that, once integrated in ζ , yields

$$G_\chi + G^2 G_\zeta + \frac{1}{2\chi} G = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

The solution of this one-dimensional equation does not provide a general solution to the two-dimensional equation (3.11); rather, it gives the solution to the particular Cauchy problems where initial data are given on the parabolic surfaces $\zeta = \text{const}$.

A further change of function (from G to \hat{G}) and of variables (from χ to $\hat{\chi}$),

$$\hat{G}(\hat{\chi}, \zeta) = \chi^{1/2} G(\chi, \zeta), \quad \hat{\chi} = \ln \chi, \quad (3.16)$$

reduces equation (3.11) to the autonomous inviscid generalized Burgers equation

$$\hat{G}_{\hat{\chi}} + \hat{G}^2 \hat{G}_\zeta = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

The general integral of this equation is $\hat{G} = F(\zeta - \hat{G}^2 \hat{\chi})$, where F is an arbitrary function. This allows us to recover the following class of exact implicit solution for the Z equation (3.11):

$$U = \chi^{-1/2} F \left(\tau + \frac{\eta^2}{2\chi} - \beta U^2 \chi e^\chi \right). \quad (3.18)$$

It is quite remarkable that the transformation (3.14) should work for the Z equation (cubic nonlinearity) because it was initially intended for the KZK equation (quadratic nonlinearity). Through it, a huge class of exact solutions is generated for the Z equation.

General methods to obtain exact solutions for such equations include reduction methods such as the one based on Lie group analysis and its generalizations. Those methods have been systematically applied to the ZK equations with quadratic nonlinearities (e.g. Tajiri 1995; Bruzon *et al.* 2009), but it seems that there are very few results, regarding the case of a cubic nonlinearity, of interest to incompressible elastic materials.

(b) *Results for general incompressible solids*

We return to an important point evoked in §1: that the propagation of finite-amplitude transverse waves cannot be governed by a *scalar* Z equation (i.e. linearly polarized shear waves exist only in special solids, such as the generalized neo-Hookean materials).

To show this, we take solids with the following Rivlin strain energy:

$$W = C_{10}(I_1 - 3) + C_{01}(I_2 - 3) + C_{20}(I_1 - 3)^2 \quad (3.19)$$

(where C_{10} , C_{01} and C_{20} are constants), which covers the most general model of *fourth-order incompressible elasticity* (Ogden 1974),

$$W = \mu \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{E}^2) + \frac{A}{3} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{E}^3) + D(\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{E}^2))^2, \quad (3.20)$$

with the identifications (Destrade *et al.* 2010)

$$\mu = 2(C_{10} + C_{01}), \quad A = -8(C_{10} + 2C_{01}) \quad \text{and} \quad D = 2(C_{10} + 3C_{01} + 2C_{20}). \quad (3.21)$$

Here, $\mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{I})/2$ is the Green strain tensor and μ , A and D are the second-, third- and fourth-order elasticity constants, respectively.

With this strain energy, the first and second equations of (2.6) read

$$\text{and} \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \hat{q}_{\hat{X}_1} + 2C_{01} \left[(\hat{u}_{\hat{X}_1}^2)_{\hat{X}_1} + (\hat{u}_{\hat{X}_1} \hat{u}_{\hat{X}_2})_{\hat{X}_2} \right] &= 0 \\ \hat{q}_{\hat{X}_2} + 2C_{01} \left[(\hat{u}_{\hat{X}_2}^2)_{\hat{X}_2} + (\hat{u}_{\hat{X}_1} \hat{u}_{\hat{X}_2})_{\hat{X}_1} \right] &= 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.22)$$

where $\hat{q} \equiv q/L$ and \hat{X}_1 and \hat{X}_2 are defined as in §2.

Guided by the results of the previous subsection, we know that we must perform the changes of function and variables defined by equation (3.2), if we aim at deriving the Z equation from the last equation in (2.6). Here, those changes give the first terms in the expansion of the two equations (3.22) as

$$\epsilon^2 \hat{q}_\chi \pm \alpha \hat{q}_\tau \pm 2C_{01} \epsilon^2 \alpha^2 (U_\tau^2)_\tau + \dots = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon \hat{q}_\eta + 2C_{01} \epsilon^3 \alpha (U_\tau U_\eta)_\tau + \dots = 0. \quad (3.23)$$

Now the first of these tells us that \hat{q} must be of order ϵ^2 : $\hat{q} = \epsilon^2 Q$, say. Then, equations (3.22) reduce further to

$$Q_\tau + 2C_{01} \alpha (\hat{U}_\tau^2)_\tau = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_\eta + 2C_{01} \alpha (\hat{U}_\tau \hat{U}_\eta)_\tau = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

at order ϵ^2 . Clearly, these expressions for the derivatives of Q are not compatible.

We may thus conclude that linearly polarized waves are not possible in general incompressible elastic materials. Indeed, Horgan & Saccomandi (2003) explain that when an anti-plane shear deformation occurs in a general incompressible

material, it is necessarily accompanied by secondary motions associated with in-plane deformations. This coupling increases dramatically the complexity of the calculations and is an obstruction to the propagation of linearly polarized two-dimensional shear waves. In general incompressible materials, it is necessary to take into account the in-plane motions coupled to the two-dimensional shear wave.

In fact, Wochner *et al.* (2008) acknowledge as much when they correct Enflo *et al.* (2006) and their study of linearly polarized nonlinear shear waves, and conclude that ‘Simply stated, quadratic nonlinearity prevents the existence of a pure linearly polarized shear wave beam’. However, they then state that ‘Cubic nonlinearity can be more pronounced than quadratic nonlinearity due to the quasi-planar nature of wavefronts in directional sound beams’, and go on to ‘consider only the effects of cubic nonlinearity’. But, ignoring quadratic terms in favour of cubic terms runs contrary to the very idea of asymptotic expansions. Upon examination of their equation of motion (eqn (11)), we see that the only way to make quadratic terms vanish is to take $4\mu + A = 0$. According to equation (3.21) here, this is equivalent to saying that $C_{01} = 0$, making W in equation (3.20) belong to the class of generalized neo-Hookean materials.

4. Dissipation and dispersion

In our treatment of dissipative and dispersive effects, we use the following constitutive law for the Cauchy stress:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^E + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^D, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^E = -p\mathbf{I} + \mu[1 + \gamma(I_1 - 3)]\mathbf{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}^D = \nu\mathbf{A}_1 + \alpha_1(\mathbf{A}_2 - \mathbf{A}_1^2), \quad (4.2)$$

which separates the purely elastic part $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^E$ of the stress from a viscoelastic part $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^D$.

More specifically, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^E$ coincides with the Cauchy stress of an elastic Yeoh material with strain energy as in equation (3.12). We make this choice for convenience, but it is clear that once the asymptotic expansions are performed below, the Yeoh form recovers the entire class of generalized neo-Hookean solids, through the identifications

$$\mu[1 + \gamma(\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^{-1} - 3)] = 2w^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu\gamma = 2w^{(2)}. \quad (4.3)$$

The viscoelastic part of the stress, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^D$, is the stress of a second-grade viscoelastic solid, where $\nu > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > 0$ are constants and \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 are the first two Rivlin–Ericksen tensors, defined by

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{L}^T \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A}_2 = \dot{\mathbf{A}}_1 + \mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{L}^T\mathbf{A}_1, \quad (4.4)$$

with $\mathbf{L} = \dot{\mathbf{F}}\mathbf{F}^{-1}$, the displacement gradient. Here, the superposed dot represents the material time derivative. The first part of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^D$ is $\nu\mathbf{A}_1 = 2\nu\mathbf{D}$, where \mathbf{D} is the stretch tensor; that part describes *dissipation* by Newtonian viscosity effects, and coincides with the dissipative term in the Navier–Stokes theory. The second part of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^D$ is $\alpha_1(\mathbf{A}_2 - \mathbf{A}_1^2)$; it describes *dispersion* by accounting for the intrinsic characteristic lengths of the solid (see Destrade & Saccomandi (2006) for details).

Note that the temporal evolution of the canonical energy $E(t)$ for our solid (4.1) and (4.2) is given by $\dot{E}(t) = -2 \int_{\Omega_t} \nu(\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D}) dV < 0$, where Ω_t is a given region occupied by the material at time t . It is independent of α_1 (Fosdick & Yu 1996).

With respect to two-dimensional anti-plane motion, the derivation of the governing equation is not overly complicated by the additional terms. For ease of exposition, we consider that the solid is not pre-strained: $\lambda = 1$. (It follows that once the asymptotic expansions are performed, the identifications (4.3) reduce to $\mu = 2w^{(1)}$, $\gamma = 2w^{(2)}/w^{(1)}$.)

We find that the equations $q_\alpha = 0$ ($\alpha = 1, 2$) still apply here, while the last equation in (3.1) is changed to

$$[\mu u_\beta + \mu\gamma(u_1^2 + u_2^2)u_\beta + \nu u_{\beta t} + \alpha_1 u_{\beta tt}]_\beta = \rho u_{tt}. \quad (4.5)$$

In dimensionless form, it becomes

$$\hat{u}_{ii} + \gamma[(\hat{u}_1^2 + \hat{u}_2^2)\hat{u}_i]_i + \frac{\nu}{\mu T} \hat{u}_{iit} + \frac{\alpha_1}{\mu T^2} \hat{u}_{iit} - \frac{\rho L^2}{\mu T^2} u_{ii} = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

using the usual scalings.

When the solid is not dissipative ($\nu = 0$) and not dispersive ($\alpha_1 = 0$), the changes of function and variables (3.2) give a governing equation at order ϵ^3 , when α is chosen as $\alpha = \sqrt{\rho L^2 / (\mu T^2)}$. Here, the expansions of the dissipative and dispersive terms in equation (4.6) start as

$$\text{and } \left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\nu}{\mu T} (\hat{u}_{11i} + \hat{u}_{22i}) &= \epsilon \frac{\nu}{\mu T} \left(\frac{\rho L^2}{\mu T^2} \right) \hat{U}_{\tau\tau\tau} + \dots \\ \frac{\alpha_1}{\mu T^2} (\hat{u}_{11i} + \hat{u}_{22i}) &= \epsilon \frac{\alpha_1}{\mu T^2} \left(\frac{\rho L^2}{\mu T^2} \right) \hat{U}_{\tau\tau\tau} + \dots \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.7)$$

Clearly, in order to be incorporated into the governing equation for the motion, the dissipative and the dispersive effects must be at least of the order ϵ^2 : $\nu = \epsilon^2 \hat{\nu} + \dots$ and $\alpha_1 = \epsilon^2 \hat{\alpha}_1 + \dots$ say, where $\hat{\nu}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_1$ are constants. With that assumption, we find the following form of the equation of motion for velocity $U = \hat{U}_\tau$,

$$(\pm U_\chi + \beta U^2 U_\tau + \beta' U_{\tau\tau} + \beta'' U_{\tau\tau\tau})_\tau + \frac{1}{2} U_{\eta\eta} = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\beta \equiv \frac{3\gamma}{2} \left(\frac{\rho L^2}{\mu T^2} \right)^{3/2}, \quad \beta' \equiv \frac{\hat{\nu}}{2\mu T} \left(\frac{\rho L^2}{\mu T^2} \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta'' \equiv \frac{\hat{\alpha}_1}{2\mu T^2} \left(\frac{\rho L^2}{\mu T^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (4.9)$$

This equation covers not only the Z equation (at $\beta' = \beta'' = 0$), but also the dissipative mZK equation (at $\beta'' = 0$) and the dispersive mKP equation (at $\beta' = 0$).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that an mKP equation is derived in the continuum mechanics of *homogeneous solids*.

If we consider the diffusive version of equation (4.8), i.e.

$$(\pm U_\chi + \beta U^2 U_\tau + \beta' U_{\tau\tau})_\tau + \frac{1}{2} U_{\eta\eta} = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

and we seek solutions that propagate at an angle γ with respect to the τ -direction, it is possible to obtain an interesting reduction. To this end, let us consider solutions that depend only on χ and $\tilde{\tau} = \tau + \sqrt{2}\eta \tan(\gamma) - \chi \tan^2(\gamma)$ to reduce equation (4.10) to

$$(\pm U_\chi + \beta U^2 U_{\tilde{\tau}} + \beta' U_{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}})_{\tilde{\tau}} = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

This is the Nariboli–Lin-modified diffusive Burgers equation (Nariboli & Lin 1973). The plane-wave solution of this equation is computed as

$$U^{-2} = \frac{\beta}{V_0} + \exp\left[-\frac{2V_0}{\beta'}(\tilde{\tau} - V_0\chi)\right],$$

where V_0 is the speed of the plane wave. This solution is quite different from the plane-wave solution of the classical Burgers equation, which is expressed in terms of the hyperbolic tangent function.

If we consider the purely dispersive case and we rescale some of the variables and $U = \lambda_1 \tilde{U}$, $\chi = \lambda_2 \tilde{U}$ and $\eta = \lambda_3 \tilde{\eta}$, it is always possible to choose the scaling such that

$$(\pm \tilde{U}_\chi + \tilde{U}^2 \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\tau}} + \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}})_{\tilde{\tau}} + \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\eta}} = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

the mKP equation. A connection between this equation and the modified Korteweg de Vries equation may be established with a similar transformation to the one used to reduce equation (4.10) to equation (4.11).

It is also possible to seek direct solutions of equation (4.12) in the form

$$\tilde{U} = F(\zeta) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta = a\tilde{\tau} + b\tilde{\eta} - \omega\chi.$$

This ansatz produces the reduction

$$a^4 F'' = -\frac{a^2}{3} U^3 + (a\omega - b^2)U + c_0\zeta + c_1, \quad (4.13)$$

where c_0 and c_1 are integration constants.

If we consider solitary-wave solutions, the asymptotic boundary conditions require $c_0 = c_1 = 0$ and the usual *energy* integral is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} U'^2 = \frac{U^2}{a^2} \left[\frac{a\omega - b^2}{2} - \frac{U^2}{12} \right] + c_2,$$

where again c_2 is an integration constant. It is clear that it must be $c_2 = 0$ and if $a\omega - b^2 > 0$, an explicit solitary-wave solution is given by

$$F(\zeta) = \left\{ 6(a\omega - b^2) \operatorname{sech}^2 \left[\frac{2\sqrt{a\omega - b^2}}{2a}(\zeta - \zeta_0) \right] \right\}^{1/2},$$

where ζ_0 is an integration constant.

If we consider in equation (4.13) $c_0 = 0$ and $c_1 \neq 0$, it is also possible to find cnoidal wave solutions in terms of elliptic functions.

5. Conclusions

We provide a clear and complete derivation of various model equations for shear waves in the framework of nonlinear incompressible elasticity. The rationale for investigating this type of problem is dictated by the use of imaging techniques of improved quality, such as latest generation elastography, to evaluate the nonlinear material properties of soft tissues.

We show that it is possible to derive a pure (scalar) Z equation only in the special case of generalized neo-Hookean materials. For general incompressible materials, the Z equation is coupled with a non-negligible transverse motion, an important issue that is often overlooked in the literature. Clearly, a rigorous evaluation of the validity of the perturbative expansion requires an extension of the existence, uniqueness and stability results that have been provided so far only for model equations with quadratic nonlinearity.

We point out that general structure of the various equations obtained here is as follows:

$$\frac{d}{d\chi} \mathcal{E}(U) + c \nabla_{\perp} U = 0,$$

where c is a suitable material parameter, ∇_{\perp} is the second-order derivative (Laplace operator) in an orthogonal direction to the beam axis and $\mathcal{E}(U) = 0$ is the equation governing plane-wave propagation (inviscid Burgers, Burgers or Korteweg de Vries equation). It would be an interesting problem to study the various transformation and group-analysis properties of such general equations to find exact and explicit solutions for various model equations following a general and complete scheme.

This work is supported by a Senior Marie Curie Fellowship awarded by the Seventh Framework Programme of the European Commission to the first author. This publication is based on work supported in part by award no. KUK-C1-013-04, made by King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) (A.G.), and also based in part upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-0907773 (A.G.).

References

- Adkins, J. E. 1954 Some generalizations of the shear problem for isotropic incompressible materials. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **50**, 334–345. (doi:10.1017/S0305004100029406)
- Bruzon, M. S., Gandarias, M. L., Torrisi, M. & Tracina, R. 2009 Some travelling wave solutions for the dissipative Zabolotskaya–Khokhlov equation. *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 103504. (doi:10.1063/1.3204507)
- Cates, A. T. & Crighton, D. G. 1990 Nonlinear diffraction and caustic formation. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **430**, 69–88. (doi:10.1098/rspa.1990.0081)
- Cramer, M. S. & Andrews, M. F. 2003 A modified Khokhlov–Zabolotskaya equation governing shear waves in prestrained hyperelastic solids. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **114**, 1821–1832. (doi:10.1121/1.1610460)
- Destrade, M. & Saccomandi, G. 2006 Solitary and compact-like shear waves in the bulk of solids. *Phys. Rev. E* **73**, 065604. (doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.73.065604)
- Destrade, M., Gilchrist, M. D. & Murphy, J. G. 2010 Onset of non-linearity in the elastic bending of beams. *ASME J. Appl. Mech.* **77**, 061015. (doi:10.1115/1.4001282)
- Enflo, B. O., Hedberg, C. M. & Rudenko, O. V. 2006 Standing and propagating waves in cubically nonlinear media. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **834**, 187–195. (doi:10.1063/1.2205802)

- Fosdick, R. L. & Yu, J. H. 1996 Thermodynamics, stability and non-linear oscillations of viscoelastic solids I. Differential type solids of second grade. *Int. J. Non Linear Mech.* **31**, 495–516. (doi:10.1016/0020-7462(96)00005-4)
- Hayes, M. A. & Saccomandi, G. 2004 Antiplane shear motions for viscoelastic Mooney–Rivlin materials. *Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* **57**, 379–392. (doi:10.1093/qjmam/57.3.379)
- Horgan, C. O. & Saccomandi, G. 2003 Helical shear for hardening generalized neo-Hookean elastic materials. *Math. Mech. Solids* **8**, 539–559. (doi:10.1177/10812865030085007)
- Kadomtsev, B. B. & Petviashvili, V. I. 1970 The stability of solitary waves in weakly dispersive media. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **192**, 743–746.
- Knowles, J. K. 1976 On finite anti-plane shear for incompressible elastic materials. *J. Aust. Math. Soc. B* **19**, 400–415. (doi:10.1017/S033427000001272)
- Kuznetsov, V. P. 1971 Equations of nonlinear acoustics. *Sov. Phys. Acoust.* **16**, 467–470.
- Nariboli, G. A. & Lin, W. C. 1973 A new type of Burgers' equation. *ZAMM* **53**, 505–510. (doi:10.1002/zamm.19730530802)
- Norris, A. N. 1998 Finite-amplitude waves in solids. In *Nonlinear acoustics*, ch. 9 (eds M. F. Hamilton & D. T. Blackstock). London, UK: Academic Press.
- Ogden, R. W. 1974 On isotropic tensors and elastic moduli. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **75**, 427–436. (doi:10.1017/S0305004100048635)
- Ogden, R. W. 1984 *Non-linear elastic deformations*. Chichester, UK: Ellis Harwood.
- Pinton, G., Coulouvrat, F., Gennisson, J. L. & Tanter, M. 2010 Nonlinear reflection of shock shear waves in soft elastic media. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **127**, 683–691. (doi:10.1121/1.3277202)
- Rozanova-Pierrat, A. 2009 On the derivation of the Khokhlov–Zabolotskaya–Kuznetsov (KZK) equation and validation of the KZK-approximation for viscous and non-viscous thermo-elastic media. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **7**, 679–718.
- Rudenko, O. V. 2010 The 40th anniversary of the Khokhlov–Zabolotskaya equation. *Acoust. Phys.* **56**, 457–466. (doi:10.1134/S1063771010040093)
- Sionóid, P. N. & Cates, A. T. 1994 The generalized Burgers and Zabolotskaya–Khokhlov equations: transformations, exact solutions and qualitative properties. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **447**, 253–270. (doi:10.1098/rspa.1994.0139)
- Tajiri, M. 1995 Similarity reductions of the Zabolotskaya–Khokhlov equation with a dissipative term. *Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **2**, 392–397. (doi:10.2991/jnmp.1995.2.3-4.19)
- Taniuti, T. 1990 Reductive perturbation method for quasi one-dimensional nonlinear wave propagation I. *Wave Motion* **12**, 373–383. (doi:10.1016/0165-2125(90)90006-P)
- Wochner, M. S., Hamilton, M. F., Ilinskii, Y. A. & Zabolotskaya, E. A. 2008 Cubic nonlinearity in shear wave beams with different polarizations. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **123**, 2488–2495. (doi:10.1121/1.2890739)
- Zabolotskaya, E. A. 1986 Sound beams in a nonlinear isotropic solid. *Sov. Phys. Acoust.* **32**, 296–299.
- Zabolotskaya, E. A. & Khokhlov, R. V. 1969 Quasi-plane waves in the nonlinear acoustics of confined beams. *Sov. Phys. Acoust.* **15**, 35–40.